UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

| United States of America, | Case No. 23-CR -00036-HSh |
|--|--|
| Plaintiff,) v.) | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME. ED |
| CHYNNA LACHAE CROSEY, | FEB -7 2022 |
| Defendant(s).) | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 2/7/23, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 2/7/23 to 2/22/23 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s): | |
| Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | tely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| defendants, the nature of the prosect or law, that it is unreasonable to expect add | to [check applicable reasons] the number of ution, or the existence of novel questions of fact equate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| Failure to grant a continuance would deny taking into account the exercise of due dili | the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| | isonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ints, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| Failure to grant a continuance would unrean necessary for effective preparation, taking See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| disposition of criminal cases, the court sets paragraph and — based on the parties' sho the time limits for a preliminary hearing ur | ing into account the public interest in the prompt is the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ander Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the im. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| DATED: 2/7/23 | 1 min |
| | DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge |
| | United States Magistrate Judge |
| STIPULATED: | flyn hall |
| Attorney for Defendant | Assistant United States Attorney |